1月17日 本部分

;0359145870

# 1/ 4



**DOCKET NO: 249967US0X** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

SHIN YAMAGUCHI, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: L. ROBERTS

SERIAL NO: 10/791.783

FILED: MARCH 4, 2004

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1614

FOR: DENTAL BLEACHING AGENT SET AND THE METHOD FOR BLEACHING

TEETH

# DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

# COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

STR:

	Now co	mes Shin Yamaguch	i who depose	and :	states:			
	1. That	I am a graduate of _	Gunma	Uni	versity		_ and	
Toccive	× 1 107.	Masters			degree in (	he year <u>1.9</u>	97.	
	2. That	I have been employe	d by G	rC_				ਰ
<del></del>			for	<u>9</u> 3	Mars 25 4	eacher		
		in the field of	den		materials		whitening	material

- 3. That I am a co-inventor of the above-identified application.
- 4. That the following experiments were carried out by me or under my direct supervision and control.

### TEST DATA

### 1 SAMPLES

- 1. Extracted bovine teath were immersed into ferrum acetate solution for 24 hours under vacuumed condition.
- 2. After that, they were immersed into tannic acid solution for 24 hours under vacuumed condition to make Tannic acid staining.

#### BLEACHING AGENTS

### First components

% by weight

	Comparative Example	Example 1	Example 2	Example 3	Example 4
Powder A	5				
Powder B		5			
Powder C			5		
Powder C-Ap				5	
Powder C-Pt			÷		5
vater	30	30	30	30	30
ethanol	61	61	61	61	61
iron chloride	1	1	1	1	1
sodium magnesium silicats	3	· 3	3	3	3

# Second component

% by weight

glycerin	16,5
Water	60
hygrogen peroxide	20
carboxy polymethylene	3
sodium hydroxide (pH adjusted)	0.5

cf: The Powder A, Powder B, Powder C, Powder C-Ap and Powder C-Pt are as same as the powders written in the BNAMPLES of the present specification.

The Comparative example of the above table is as same as the Example 1 of the present specification.

07-01-05;03:55PM;(株)ジーシー 研究病院発管理課

師許事所

:0359145870

# 3/ 4

#### BLEACHING TESTS

- 1. L\*value, a\*value and b\*value of the samples were messured by using a spectro-photometer (trade name: Easy shade, manufactured by GC Corporation).
- 2. For each of the Comparative example and the Examples I to 4, the first component was applied on the surface of the sample with brush and dried with air.
- 3. The second component was applied on the surface of the sample for each of the Comparative example and the Examples 1 to 4.
- 4. It was then followed by irradiation with a dental visible light irradiator (trade name: New light VLII, manufactured by GC Corporation) for five minutes per irradiation. The distance between the irradiator and the surface of the sample was about I cm.
- 5. Then, the surface of the samples were washed by water.
- 6. The above processes 2 to 5 were repeated 6 times.
- 7. For each of the Comparative example and the Examples 1 to 4 which was processed the above process 6, L\*value, a\*value and b\*value of the samples were measured by using a spectro-photometer (trade name: Basy shade, manufactured by GC Corporation).
- 8. For evaluating the bleaching effectiveness, color difference  $\Delta$  E defined by the following formula was calculated from the measured results in the process 1 and 7.

 $\Delta \mathbf{E} = \left[ (\Delta \mathbf{L} *)^{2} + (\Delta \mathbf{L} *)^{2} + (\Delta \mathbf{L} *)^{2} \right]^{2/2}$ 

### TEST RESULTS

	Comparative Example	Brample 1	Example 2	Brample 8	Exemple 4
bleaching effectiveness \$\triangle E	22.1	48.2	41.3	49.3	55.2

By this test results, it is clear that the Examples using nitrogen doped titanium oxide powder or titanium eximitride powder are more effective in a bleaching agent as compared to titanium oxide powder,

NOMA & SON PATENT 07-01-05;03:55PM;(株)ジーシー 研究所属発管程限

;0359145870

Application Serial No.: 10/791,783

- 5. The undersigned petitioner declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent issuing thereon.
  - 6. Further deponent saith not.

Shin Yamaguchi
January 5, 2007
Date